Cumulative Table of Cases Connecticut Reports Volume 327

(Replaces Prior Cumulative Table)

A Better Way Wholesale Autos, Inc. v. Rodriguez (Order)	992
American First Federal, Inc. v. Gordon (Order)	909
Ampero v . Commissioner of Correction (Order)	953
Arroyo v. University of Connecticut Health Center (Order)	973
Avery v. Medina (Order)	927
Bagley v. Adel Wiggins Group	89
Product liability; action pursuant to Connecticut's Product Liability Act (§ 52-572m	
et seq.) alleging wrongful death of decedent based on his exposure to defendant's allegedly defective asbestos containing product; motion for directed verdict;	
motion to set aside verdict and for judgment notwithstanding verdict; whether	
expert testimony was necessary to prove plaintiff's strict liability and negligence	
claims; whether plaintiff proved through competent expert testimony that defend-	
ant's product was dangerous and that product's dangerous condition caused	
decedent to develop mesothelioma; whether court's holding compelled by law as	
it existed at time of trial or whether it stemmed from principles newly articulated	
in court's recent product liability jurisprudence, entitling plaintiff to new trial.	
Bank of America, N.A. v. Fisher (Order)	903
Bank of America, N.A. v. Sabir (Order)	903
Bank of New York Mellon v . Lindsey (Order)	931
Bank of New York Mellon v. Mauro (Order)	986
Bigelow v. Commissioner of Correction (Order)	929
Bozelko v. D'Albero (Order)	912
Brander v. Stoddard (Order)	928
Bueno v. Commissioner of Correction (Order)	907
Byrne v. Avery Center for Obstetrics & Gynecology, P.C	540
Negligence; negligent infliction of emotional distress; whether trial court properly	
granted summary judgment in favor of defendant health care provider; whether	
cause of action for breach of duty of confidentiality arising out of physician-	
patient relationship is recognized by common law; whether existence of subpoena	
precluded liability; recognition of common-law causes of action, discussed.	
Cadle Co. v. Ogalin (Order)	930
CCT Communications, Inc. v. Zone Telecom, Inc	114
Breach of contract; declaratory judgment; whether trial court made finding of breach	
based on plaintiff telecommunication company's failure to provide adequate service; whether trial court correctly concluded that plaintiff's act of filing for	
bankruptcy constituted material breach that permitted defendant telecommunica-	
tion company to terminate parties' agreement; whether defendant validly exer-	
cised contractual right to terminate agreement following plaintiff's voluntary	
bankruptcy petition pursuant to ipso facto clause in agreement notwithstanding	
federal statute (11 U.S.C. § 365 [e]) generally barring enforcement of such clauses;	
common-law ride-through doctrine, discussed; whether trial court's judgment	
could be affirmed on alternative ground that contract fell within statutory (11	
U.S.C. § 556) exception to 11 U.S.C. § 365 (e) for commodity forward contracts.	
Cimino v. Cimino (Order)	929
CitiMortgage, Inc. v. Tanasi (Order)	978
Clinton S. v. Commissioner of Correction (Order)	927
Cohen v. Cohen	485
Dissolution of marriage; motion to modify alimony based on substantial increase	100
in defendant's income; whether prior modification order was sufficient to fulfill	
underlying purpose of original alimony award; claim that trial court improperly	
considered parties' financial circumstances at time of divorce decree when it	
granted motion to modify prior modification order; claim that court was barred	
from considering purpose of original alimony award when crafting modification;	
whether plaintiff's motion for modification was legally insufficient on its face	
on ground that it alleged only that defendant's income had significantly increased;	

whether court properly considered extrinsic evidence of parties' intent when they entered into separation agreement; claim that court improperly took judicial notice of plaintiff's previous financial affidavit in court file; claim that trial court's modification order was impermissible; claim that allowing supported spouse to share supporting spouse's standard of living after divorce was not legitimate purpose of original alimony award; whether court was required, under Dan v. Dan (315 Conn. 1), to presume that exclusive purpose of alimony award in present case was to allow plaintiff to maintain standard of living that she had enjoyed during marriage.	
Cohen v. Meyers (Order)	973
Colonial Investors, LLC v. Furbush (Order)	968
Commissioner of Public Health v. Colandrea (Order)	957
Cook-Littman v. Board of Selectmen (Order)	956
Corsair Special Situations Fund, L.P. v. Engineered Framing Systems, Inc	467
Circuit Court of Appeals by plaintiff from order of United States District Court for District of Connecticut directing plaintiff to pay marshal 15 percent fee pursuant to § 52-261 (a) (F); certification of questions of law from Second Circuit Court of Appeals; whether marshal entitled to 15 percent fee under § 52-261 (a)	
(F); whether, in determining marshal's entitlement to fee, it mattered that writ was ignored by third party and money that was subject of writ was procured only	
after plaintiff, not marshal, pursued further enforcement proceedings in courts.	
Costa v. Plainville Board of Education (Order)	961
Crouse v. Sloat (Order)	984
Darryl W. v. Commissioner of Correction (Order)	989
Dejana v. Dejana (Order)	977
Deutsche Bank AG v. Sebastian Holdings, Inc. (Orders)	
Diaz v. Commissioner of Correction (Order)	957
Diehl v. Powell (Order)	979
Oull v. Commissioner of Correction (Order)	930 922
Emerick v. Glastonbury (Order)	994
Epps v. Commissioner of Correction	482
Habeas corpus; certification from Appellate Court; whether, in collateral proceeding,	10_
where petitioner claims that trial court improperly omitted element of criminal	
charge in final instructions to jury, is proper standard for assessing harm	
measured in accordance with standard in Brecht v. Abrahamson (507 U.S. 619) or standard in Neder v. United States (527 U.S. 1); whether, irrespective of which	
standard applied, harm had been established in petitioner's criminal case; appeal	
dismissed on ground that certification improvidently granted.	
Evans v. Tiger Claw, Inc. (Order)	976
Financial Freedom Acquisition, LLC v. Griffin (Order)	931
Fitzpatrick v. U.S. Bank National Assn. (Order)	902
Francini v. Goodspeed Airport, LLC	431
Declaratory judgment; easement by necessity; certification from Appellate Court; whether Appellate Court properly reversed summary judgment rendered by trial	
court in favor of defendant; claim that plaintiff landowner entitled to easement	
by necessity for underground commercial utilities along preexisting deeded right-	
of-way.	
Freeman v. A Better Way Wholesale Autos, Inc. (Order)	927
Freer v. Chien (Order)	987
Freer v. Fu (Order)	988
Friedman v. Dooven (Order)	993
Girolametti v. Michael Horton Associates, Inc. (Orders)	
Girolametti v. Michael Horton Associates, Inc. (Orders)	
Girolametti v. VP Buildings, Inc. (Orders)	
Gordon v. Gordon (Order)	904
Gostyla v. Chambers (Order)	993
Harper-Wilson v. A Better Way Wholesale Autos, Inc. (Order)	959
Haughey v. Commissioner of Correction (Order)	906

Personal injury; summary judgment; immunity from statutory (§ 52-557n) municipal liability; whether police department policies governing arrests and prisoner transportation imposed ministerial, nondiscretionary duty on its officers to search individual taken into custody pursuant to civil commitment statute (§ 17a-503 [a) and transported to hospital for emergency evaluation; discretionary and ministerial acts, distinguished. Hutterly v. Miller (Order). Hynes v. Jones (Order). In re Egypt E. Termination of parental rights; claim that trial court improperly terminated respondents' parental rights as to their minor child pursuant to statute (§ 17a-112 [j] [3] [7]) because there was no evidence that acts of parental commission or omission had caused child to suffer harm prior to her removal from respondents' home; whether termination of parental rights improperly was based on finding of predictive harm; whether trial court improperly found that criteria of § 17a-112 [j] (3) (C) had been proven on basis of respondents' postremoval acts of parental omission, including their continuing failure to acknowledge cause of injuries to their other child; whether there was sufficient provented to establish that respondents' omissions were harmful to child; claim that expert testimony was insufficient to establish that child had been psychologically harmed. In re Henry P. BP. Petitions for appointment of coguardian and juvenile status findings pursuant to statute (§ 45a-680m [b]); certification from Appellate Court; whether Appellate Court properly affirmed judgments of Superior Court dismissing probate appeals; whether Probate Court was divested of authority to make findings under § 45a-608n (b) when minor child reached age of eighteen during pendency of underlying proceeding. In re Ceana R. (Order). In re Leans R. (Order). In re Leans R. (Order). In re Leans R. (Order). Levanti v. Commissioner of Correction (Order). Margan Chase Bank, NA. v. Herman (Order). McClancy v. Bank of America, NA. (Order). McClancy v	Hemonen v. Gupton (Order)	409
transportation imposed ministerial, nondiscretionary duty on its officers to search individual taken into custody pursuant to evid commitment statute (§ 17a-503 [a]) and transported to hospital for emergency evaluation; discretionary and ministerial acts, distinguished. Hutterly v. Miller (Order). Hynes v. Jones (Order). Termination of parental rights; claim that trial court improperly terminated respondents parental rights as to their minor child pursuant to statute (§ 17a-112 [j] [3] [C]) because there was no evidence that acts of parental commission or omission had caused child to suffer harm prior to her remonal from respondents' home, whether termination of parental rights improperly was based on finding of predictive harm; whether trial court improperly found that criterio of § 17a-112 (j) (3) (C) had been proven on basis of respondents' postremoval acts of parental omission, including their continuing faiture to acknowledge cause of injuries to their other child; whether there was sufficient evidence presented to establish that respondents' omissions were harmful to child; claim that expert testimony was insufficient to establish that child had been psychologically harmed. In re Elianh T.T. (Order). In re Henry P. BP. Petitions for appointment of coguardian and juvenile status findings pursuant to statute (§ 45a-608n [b]); certification from Appellate Court; whether Appellate Court properly affirmed judgments of Superior Court dismissing probate appeals; whether Probate Court was divested of authority to make findings under § 45a-608n (b) when minor child reached age of eighteen during pendency of underlying proceeding. In re Lais N. (Orders). In the Lais N. (Orders). In the Lais N. (Orders). In the Lais N. (Orders). Holess corpus; whether calculation of presentence confinement credit should be adjusted for concurrent sentences imposed under one docket number but on different dates due to mistrial, whether definal or		402
search individual taken into custody pursuant to civil commitment statute (§ 17a-503 [a]) and transported to hospital for emergency evaluation; discretionary and ministerial acts, distinguished. Hutterly v. Miller (Order). Hynes v. Jones (Order). In re Egypt E. Termination of parental rights, claim that trial court improperly terminated respondents' parental rights as to their minor child pursuant to statute (§ 17a-112 [j] [3] [C]) because there was no evidence that acts of parental commission or omission had caused child to suffer harm prior to her removal from respondents' home, whether termination of parental rights improperly was based on finding of predictive harm; whether trial court improperly found that criteria of § 17a-112 (j) (3) (C) had been proven on basis of respondents' postremoval acts of parental omission, including their continuing failure to acknowledge cause of injuries to their other child, whether there was sufficient evidence presented to establish that respondents' omissions were harmful to child; claim that expert testimony was insufficient to establish that child had been psychologically harmed. In re Elianah TT. (Order). In re Henry P. BP. Petitions for appointment of coguardian and juvenile status findings pursuant to statute (§ 45a-608n [b]), certification from Appellate Court; whether Appellate Court properly affirmed judgments of Superior Court dismissing probate appeals; whether Probate Court was divested of authority to make findings under § 45a-608n (b) when minor child reached age of eighteen during pendency of underlying proceeding. In re Ceana R. (Order) In re Lais N. (Orders) In re Leais N. (Orders) In re Leais N. (Orders) In Progran Chase Bank, NAA v. Herman (Order). Hyneger v. Grauer (Order) Lavigne v. Sarantopoulos (Order) Lederle v. Spivey (Order) Lederle v. Spivey (Order) Lederle v. Spivey (Order) Lederle v. Spivey (Order) Margar v. Commissioner of Correction (Order) Mangiafico v. Farmington (Order) Margar v. Commissioner of Correction (Order)		
(§ 17a-503 [a]) and transported to hospital for emergency evaluation; discretionary and ministerial acts, distinguished. Hutterly v. Miller (Order). Hynes v. Jones (Order). Hynes v. Jones (Order). Termination of parental rights; claim that trial court improperly terminated respondents parental rights as to their minor child pursuant to statute (§ 17a-112 [j] [3] [C]) because there was no evidence that acts of parental commission or omission had caused child to suffer harm prior to her remonal from respondents' home; whether termination of parental rights improperly was based on finding of predictive harm; whether trial court improperly found that criteria of § 17a-112 (j) (3) (C) had been proven on basis of respondents' postremoval acts of parental omission, including their continuing failure to acknowledge cause of injuries to their other child; whether there was sufficient evidence presented to establish that respondents' omissions were harmful to child; claim that expert testimony was insufficient to establish that child had been psychologically harmed. In re Elianah T.T. (Order). In re Henry P. BP. Petitions for appointment of coguardian and juvenile status findings pursuant to statute (§ 45a-608n [b]); certification from Appellate Court; whether Appellate Court properly affirmed judgments of Superior Court dismissing probate appeals; whether Probate Court was divested of authority to make findings under § 45a-608n (b) when minor child reached age of eighteen during pendency of underlying proceeding. In re Ceana R. (Order). In re Luis N. (Orders). In re Luis N. (Orders). In realis N. (Order). Hobeas corpus; whether calculation of presentence confinement credit should be adjusted for concurrent sentences imposed under one docket number but on different dates due to mistrial; whether denial of presentence confinement credit impermissibly burdened petitioner's constitutional right to pursue double jeopardy claim on retrial. PMorgan Chase Bank, NA. v. Herman (Order). MTM Realty, LLC v. Doe (Orde		
Hitterly v. Miller (Order). In re Egypt E. Termination of parental rights; claim that trial court improperly terminated respondents' parental rights as to their minor child pursuant to statute (§ 17a-112 [j] [3] [C]) because there was no evidence that acts of parental commission or omission had caused child to suffer harm prior to her removal from respondents' home; whether termination of parental rights improperly was based on finding of predictive herm; whether trial court improperly found that crieria of § 17a-112 (j) (3) (C) had been proven on basis of respondents' postremoval acts of parental omission, including their continuing failure to acknowledge cause of injuries to their other child; whether there was sufficient evidence presented to establish that respondents' omissions were harmful to child; claim that expert testimony was insufficient to establish that child had been psychologically harmed. In re Elianh T-T. (Order). In re Henrry P. B-P. Petitions for appointment of coguardian and juvenile status findings pursuant to statute (§ 45a-608n [b]); certification from Appellate Court; whether Appellate Court properly affirmed judgments of Superior Court dismissing probate appeals; whether Probate Court was divested of authority to make findings under § 45a-608n (b) when minor child reached age of eighteen during pendency of underlying proceeding. In re Ceana R. (Order) In re Luis N. (Orders). In re Luis N. (Orders). Initiati v. Commissioner of Correction (Order). Inames v. Commissioner of Correction of presentence confinement credit should be adjusted for concurrent sentences imposed under one docket number but on different dates due to mistrial; whether denial of presentence confinement credit impermissibly burdened petitioner's constitutional right to pursue double jeoparty claim on retrial. PMorgan Chase Bank, National Assn. v. Davis (Order). PMOrgan Chase Bank, NA. v. Herman (Order). Mangiafico v. Farmington (Order). Mara v. Commissioner of Correction (Order). MacClancy v. Bank of Ame		
Hynes v. Jones (Order). In re Egypt E. Termination of parental rights; claim that trial court improperly terminated respondents' parental rights as to their minor child pursuant to statute (§ 17a-112 [j] [3] (C]) because there was no evidence that acts of parental commission or omission had caused child to suffer harm prior to her removal from respondents' home; whether termination of parental rights improperly was based on finding of predictive harm; whether trial court improperly found that criteria of § 17a-112 (j) (3) (C) had been proven on basis of respondents' postremoval acts of parental omission, including their continuing failure to acknowledge cause of injuries to their other child; whether there was sufficient evidence presented to establish that respondents' omissions were harmful to child; claim that expert testimony was insufficient to establish that child had been psychologically harmed. In re Elianah TT. (Order). In re Henrry P. BP. Petitions for appointment of coguardian and juvenile status findings pursuant to statute (§ 45a-608n [b]); certification from Appellate Court; whether Appelate Court vas divested of authority to make findings under § 45a-608n (b) when minor child reached age of eighteen during pendency of underlying proceeding. In re Ceana R. (Order) In re Lais N. (Orders) In re Lais N. (Orders) In re Lais N. (Orders) In the Lais N. (Orders) Indicar v. Commissioner of Correction (Order) Indescu v. Stratford (Order) James v. Commissioner of Correction (Order) Indescu v. Stratford (Order) Little v. Commissioner of Correction (Order) Little v. Commissioner of Correction (Order) Little v. Commissioner of Correction (Order) Mara v. Commissioner of Correction (Order) Matchangiafico v. Farmington (Order) Matchangiafico v. Farmington (Order) Nationwide Mutual Ins. Co. v. Pasiak Action seeking	, ,	
In re Egypt E. Termination of parental rights; claim that trial court improperly terminated respondents' parental rights as to their minor child pursuant to statute (§ 17a-112 [j] [3] [C]) because there was no evidence that acts of parental commission or omission had caused child to suffer harm prior to her removal from respondents' home; whether termination of parental rights improperly was based on finding of predictive harm; whether trial court improperly glound that criteria of § 17a-112 (j) (3) (C) had been proven on basis of respondents' postremoval acts of parental omission, including their continuing failure to acknowledge cause of injuries to their other child; whether there was sufficient evidence presented to establish that respondents' omissions were harmful to child; claim that expert testimony was insufficient to establish that child had been psychologically harmed. In re Elianh T.T. (Order) In re Henry P. B. P. Petitions for appointment of coguardian and juvenile status findings pursuant to statute (§ 45a-608n [b]); certification from Appellate Court; whether Appellate Court properly affirmed judgments of Superior Court dismissing probate appeals; whether Probate Court was divested of authority to make findings under § 45a-608n (b) when minor child reached age of eighteen during pendency of underlying proceeding. In re Cana R. (Order) In re Luis N. (Orders). Inzitari v. Commissioner of Correction (Order). Habeas corpus; whether calculation of presentence confinement credit should be adjusted for concurrent sentences imposed under one docket number but on different dates due to mistrial; whether denial of presentence confinement credit impermissibly burdened petitioner's constitutional right to pursue double jeopagray claim on retrial. JPMorgan Chase Bank, National Assn. v. Davis (Order). Levanti v. Conwasisioner of Correction (Order). Mara v. Commissioner of Correction (Order). Mara v. Commissioner of Correction (Order). Mara v. Commissioner of Correction (Order). Mara v. Commission		904
Termination of parental rights; claim that trial court improperly terminated respondents? parental rights as to their minor child pursuant to statute (§ 17a-112 [j] [3] [6]) because there was no evidence that acts of parental commission or omission had caused child to suffer harm prior to her removal from respondents' home; whether termination of parental rights improperly was based on finding of predictive harm; whether trial court improperly found that criteria of § 17a-112 [j] (3) (C) had been proven on basis of respondents' postremoval acts of parental omission, including their continuing failure to acknowledge cause of injuries to their other child; whether there was sufficient evidence presented to establish that respondents' omissions were harmful to child; claim that expert testimony was insufficient to establish that child had been psychologically harmed. In re Elianah TT. (Order) In re Henry P. BP. Petitions for appointment of coguardian and juvenile status findings pursuant to statute (§ 45a-608n [b]); certification from Appellate Court; whether Appellate Court properly affirmed judgments of Superior Court dismissing probate appeals; whether Probate Court was divested of authority to make findings under § 45a-608n (b) when minor child reached age of eighteen during pendency of underlying proceeding. In re Ceana R. (Order) In re Luis N. (Orders) In re Luis N. (Orders) In re Luis N. (Orders) In retuin N. (order) In retuin N. (orders) In retuin N. (orders) In retuin N.		930
dents' parental rights as to their minor child pursuant to statute (§ 17a-112 [j] [3] [C]) because there was no evidence that acts of parental commission or omission had caused child to suffer harm prior to her removal from respondents' home; whether termination of parental rights improperly was based on finiand of predictive harm; whether trial court improperly found that criteria of § 17a-112 (j) (3) (C) had been proven on basis of respondents' postremoval acts of parental omission, including their continuing failure to acknowledge cause of injuries to their other child; whether there was sufficient evidence presented to establish that respondents' omissions were harmful to child; claim that expert testimony was insufficient to establish that child had been psychologically harmed. In re Elianh T-T. (Order) In re Henrry P. B-P. Petitions for appointment of coguardian and juvenile status findings pursuant to statute (3 45a-608n [b]); certification from Appellate Court; whether Appellate Court properly affirmed judgments of Superior Court dismissing probate appeals; whether Probate Court was divested of authority to make findings under § 45a-608n (b) when minor child reached age of eighteen during pendency of underlying proceeding. In re Ceana R. (Order) In re Luis N. (Orders). In reliais N. (Orders). In reliais N. (Orders). In thatien v. Commissioner of Correction Habeas corpus; whether calculation of presentence confinement credit should be adjusted for concurrent sentences imposed under one docket number but on different dates due to mistrial; whether denial of presentence confinement credit impermissibly burdened petitioner's constitutional right to pursue double jeopardy claim on retrial. JPMorgan Chase Bank, National Assn. v. Davis (Order). Levigne v. Sarantopoulos (Order). Levigne v. Sarantopoulos (Order). Levigne v. Sarantopoulos (Order). Levigne v. Sarantopoulos (Order). Mangiafico v. Farmington (Order). Mangiafico v. Farmington (Order). Mangiafico v. Farmington (Order). Mangiafico v.		506
[3] [C]) because there was no evidence that acts of parental commission or omission had caused child to suffer harm prior to her removal from respondents' home; whether termination of parental rights improperly was based on finding of predictive harm; whether trial court improperly found that criteria of § 17a-112 (j) (3) (C) had been proven on basis of respondents' postremoval acts of parental omission, including their continuing failure to acknowledge cause of injuries to their other child; whether there was sufficient evidence presented to establish that respondents' omissions were harmful to child; claim that expert testimony was insufficient to establish that child had been psychologically harmed. In re Elianah T.T. (Order) In re Henrry P. B. P. Petitions for appointment of coguardian and juvenile status findings pursuant to statute (§ 45a-608n [b]); certification from Appellate Court; whether Appellate Court properly affirmed judgments of Superior Court dismissing probate appeals; whether Probate Court was divested of authority to make findings under § 45a-608n (b) when minor child reached age of eighteen during pendency of underlying proceeding. In re Luis N. (Orders) In re Luis N. (Orders) Inzitari v. Commissioner of Correction (Order) In almes v. Commissioner of Correction of presentence confinement credit inpermissibly burdened petitioner's constitutional right to pursue double jeopardy claim on retrial. PMorgan Chase Bank, National Assn. v. Davis (Order) IPMorgan Chase Bank, National Assn. v. Davis (Order) Luongo Construction & Development, LLC v. MacFarlane (Order). Manjafico v. Farmington (Order). Levanti v. Commissioner of Correction (Order). Mangafico v. Farmington (Order). Levanti v. Commissioner of Correction (Order). Mangafico v. Farmington (Order). Levanti v. Commissioner of Correction (Order). Mangafico v. Farmington (Order). Mangafico v. F		
omission had caused child to suffer harm prior to her remonal from respondents' home; whether termination of parental rights improperly was based on finding of predictive harm; whether trial court improperly found that criteria of § 17a-112 (j) (3) (C) had been proven on basis of respondents' postremoval acts of parental omission, including their continuing failure to acknowledge cause of injuries to their other child; whether there was sufficient evidence presented to establish that respondents' omissions were harmful to child; claim that expert testimony was insufficient to establish that child had been psychologically harmed. In re Elianah T.T. (Order) In re Henry P. B. P. Petitions for appointment of coguardian and juvenile status findings pursuant to statute (§ 45a-608n [b]); certification from Appellate Court; whether Appellate Court property affirmed judgments of Superior Court dismissing probate appeals; whether Probate Court was divested of authority to make findings under \$45a-608n (b) when minor child reached age of eighteen during pendency of underlying proceeding. In re Ceana R. (Order) In re Luis N. (Orders). In re Commissioner of Correction (Order). James v. Commissioner of Correction (Order). JPMorgan Chase Bank, National Assn. v. Davis (Order) JPMorgan Chase Bank, National Assn. v. Davis (Order) Levanti v. Conway (Order). Levanti v. Conway (Order). Levanti v. Commissioner of Correction (Order). Marra v. Commissioner of Correction (Order).		
home; whether termination of parental rights improperly was based on finding of predictive harm; whether trial court improperly found that criteria of § 17a-112 (j) (3) (C) had been proven on basis of respondents' postremoval acts of parental omission, including their continuing failure to acknowledge cause of injuries to their other child; whether there was sufficient or define persented to establish that respondents' omissions were harmful to child; claim that expert testimony was insufficient to establish that child had been psychologically harmed. In re Elianah TT. (Order). In re Henry P. BP. Petitions for appointment of coguardian and juvenile status findings pursuant to statute (§ 45a-608n [b]); certification from Appellate Court; whether Appellate Court properly affirmed judgments of Superior Court dismissing probate appeals; whether Probate Court was divested of authority to make findings under § 45a-608n (b) when minor child reached age of eighteen during pendency of underlying proceeding. In re Ceana R. (Order) In re Luis N. (Orders) In re Luis N. (Orders) Inzitari v. Commissioner of Correction (Order) Ionescu v. Stratford (Order) Ionescu v. Stratford (Order) Ionescu v. Stratford (Order) Ionescu v. Stratford (Order) IpMorgan Chase Bank, National Assn. v. Davis (Order) IPMorgan Chase Bank, National Assn. v. Davis (Order) IPMorgan Chase Bank, National Assn. v. Davis (Order) IPMorgan Chase Bank, NA v. Herman (Order). Kruger v. Grauer (Order) Lavigne v. Sarantopoulos (Order) Lederle v. Spivey (Order) Lederle v. Spivey (Order) Lederle v. Spivey (Order) Lederle v. Commissioner of Correction (Order) Mangiafico v. Farmington (Order) Mangiafico v. Farmington (Order) Marma v. Commissioner of Correction (Order) Marma v		
of predictive harm; whether trial court improperly found that criteria of § 17a- 112 (j) (3) (C) had been proven on basis of respondents' postremoval acts of parental omission, including their continuing failure to acknowledge cause of injuries to their other child, whether there was sufficient evidence presented to establish that respondents' omissions were harmful to child, claim that expert testimony was insufficient to establish that child had been psychologically harmed. In re Elianah T.T. (Order) In re Elianah T.T. (Order) In re Henry P. B. P. Petitions for appointment of coguardian and juvenile status findings pursuant to statute (§ 45a-608n [b]); certification from Appellate Court; whether Appellate Court properly affirmed judgments of Superior Court dismissing probate appeals; whether Probate Court was divested of authority to make findings under § 45a- 608n (b) when minor child reached age of eighteen during pendency of underly- ing proceeding. In re Ceana R. (Order) In re Luis N. (Orders) In re Commissioner of Correction (Order) In re Luis N. (Orders) In re Commissioner of Correction Habeas corpus; whether calculation of presentence confinement credit should be adjusted for concurrent sentences imposed under one docket number but on different dates due to mistrial, whether denial of presentence confinement credit impermissibly burdened petitioner's constitutional right to pursue double jeop- ardy claim on retrial. JPMorgan Chase Bank, NA. v. Herman (Order). Whorgan Chase Bank, NA. v. Herman (Order) Levanti v. Conway (Order) Levanti v. Conway (Order) Levanti v. Conwissioner of Correction (Order) Marra v. Commissioner of Correction (Order) Margiafico v. Farmington (Order) Margiafico v. Farm	home: whether termination of parental rights improperly was based on finding	
112 (j) (3) (C) had been proven on basis of respondents' postremoval acts of parental omission, including their continuing failure to acknowledge cause of injuries to their other child, whether there was sufficient evidence presented to establish that respondents' omissions were harmful to child, claim that expert testimony was insufficient to establish that child had been psychologically harmed. In re Elianah TT. (Order) In re Henry P. BP. Petitions for appointment of coguardian and juvenile status findings pursuant to statute (§ 45a-608n [b]); certification from Appellate Court; whether Appellate Court properly affirmed judgments of Superior Court dismissing probate appeals; whether Probate Court was divested of authority to make findings under § 45a-608n (b) when minor child reached age of eighteen during pendency of underlying proceeding. In re Ceana R. (Order) In re Luis N. (Orders) Inzitari v. Commissioner of Correction (Order) Ionescu v. Stratford (Order) James v. Commissioner of Correction Habeas corpus; whether calculation of presentence confinement credit should be adjusted for concurrent sentences imposed under one docket number but on different dates due to mistrial; whether denial of presentence confinement credit impermissibly burdened petitioner's constitutional right to pursue double jeopardy claim on retrial. JPMorgan Chase Bank, National Assn. v. Davis (Order) Levanti v. Conway (Order) Mangiañco v. Farmington (Order) Mangiañco v. Farmington (Order) Maclancy v. Bank of America, N.A. (Order) MYM Realty, LLC v. Doe (Order) Nationwide Mutual Ins. Co. v. Pasiak. Action seeking declaratory judgment to determine whether plaintiff insurance companyis employee arose out of his business pursuits exclusion of defendant's personal umbrella policy precluded him from obtaining indemnification, proper standard for determining wh	of predictive harm: whether trial court improperly found that criteria of § 17a-	
injuries to their other child, whether there was sufficient evidence presented to establish that respondents' omissions were harmful to child; claim that expert testimony was insufficient to establish that child had been psychologically harmed. In re Elianah TT. (Order) In re Henry P. BP. Petitions for appointment of coguardian and juvenile status findings pursuant to statute (§ 45a-608n [b]); certification from Appellate Court; whether Appellate Court properly affirmed judgments of Superior Court dismissing probate appeals; whether Probate Court was divested of authority to make findings under § 45a-608n (b) when minor child reached age of eighteen during pendency of underlying proceeding. In re Ceana R. (Order) In re Luis N. (Orders). Inzitari v. Commissioner of Correction (Order). Inames v. Commissioner of Correction Habeas corpus; whether calculation of presentence confinement credit should be adjusted for concurrent sentences imposed under one docket number but on different dates due to mistrial, whether denial of presentence confinement credit impermissibly burdened petitioner's constitutional right to pursue double jeopardy claim on retrial. JPMorgan Chase Bank, National Assn. v. Davis (Order). IPMorgan Chase Bank, National Assn. v. Davis (Order). Lavigne v. Sarantopoulos (Order). Levanti v. Comway (Order). Levanti v. Conway (Order). Levanti v. Conway (Order). Levanti v. Conway (Order). Lederle v. Spivey (Order). Lederle v. Spivey (Order). Lederle v. Commissioner of Correction (Order). McClancy v. Bank of America, N.A. (Order). My Realty, LLC v. Doe (Order). Marra v. Commissioner of Correction (Order). McClancy v. Bank of America, N.A. (Order). My Realty, LLC v. Doe (Order). Lederle v. Spivey (Order). Lev	112 (j) (3) (C) had been proven on basis of respondents' postremoval acts of	
establish that respondents' omissions were harmful to child; claim that expert testimony was insufficient to establish that child had been psychologically harmed. In re Elianah TT. (Order). In re Henrry P. BP. Petitions for appointment of coguardian and juvenile status findings pursuant to statute (§ 45a-608n [b]); certification from Appellate Court; whether Appellate Court properly affirmed judgments of Superior Court dismissing probate appeals; whether Probate Court was divested of authority to make findings under § 45a-608n (b) when minor child reached age of eighteen during pendency of underlying proceeding. In re Ceana R. (Order). In re Luis N. (Orders). In re Luis N. (Orders). In re Luis N. (Orders). Inzitari v. Commissioner of Correction (Order). James v. Commissioner of Correction Habeas corpus; whether calculation of presentence confinement credit should be adjusted for concurrent sentences imposed under one docket number but on different dates due to mistrial; whether denial of presentence confinement credit impermissibly burdened petitioner's constitutional right to pursue double jeopardy claim on retrial. JPMorgan Chase Bank, National Assn. v. Davis (Order). JPMorgan Chase Bank, National Assn. v. Davis (Order). Kruger v. Grauer (Order). Lederle v. Spivey (Order). Marra v. Commissioner of Correction (Order). Marra v. Commissioner	parental omission, including their continuing failure to acknowledge cause of	
testimony was insufficient to establish that child had been psychologically harmed. In re Elianah TT. (Order)		
harmed. In re Elianah TT. (Order) In re Henry P. BP. Petitions for appointment of coguardian and juvenile status findings pursuant to statute (§ 45a-608n [b]); certification from Appellate Court; whether Appellate Court properly affirmed judgments of Superior Court dismissing probate appeals; whether Probate Court was divested of authority to make findings under § 45a-608n (b) when minor child reached age of eighteen during pendency of underlying proceeding. In re Ceana R. (Order) In re Luis N. (Orders) Initiari v. Commissioner of Correction (Order) Ionescu v. Stratford (Order) Iames v. Commissioner of Correction Habeas corpus; whether calculation of presentence confinement credit should be adjusted for concurrent sentences imposed under one docket number but on different dates due to mistrial; whether denial of presentence confinement credit impermissibly burdened petitioner's constitutional right to pursue double jeopardy claim on retrial. JPMorgan Chase Bank, National Assn. v. Davis (Order) JPMorgan Chase Bank, National Assn. v. Davis (Order) Lavigne v. Grauer (Order) Lavigne v. Sarantopoulos (Order) Levanti v. Conway (Order) Levanti v. Conmissioner of Correction (Order) Luongo Construction & Development, LLC v. MacFarlane (Order) Mara v. Commissioner of Correction (Order) Mara v. Commissioner of Correc		
In re Elianah TT. (Order) In re Henrry P. BP Petitions for appointment of coguardian and juvenile status findings pursuant to statute (§ 45a-608n [b]); certification from Appellate Court; whether Appellate Court properly affirmed judgments of Superior Court dismissing probate appeals; whether Probate Court was divested of authority to make findings under § 45a-608n (b) when minor child reached age of eighteen during pendency of underlying proceeding. In re Ceana R. (Order) In re Luis N. (Orders) Inzitari v. Commissioner of Correction (Order) Ionescu v. Stratford (Order) Ionescu v. S		
In re Henrry P. BP. Petitions for appointment of coguardian and juvenile status findings pursuant to statute (§ 45a-608n [b]); certification from Appellate Court; whether Appellate Court properly affirmed judgments of Superior Court dismissing probate appeals; whether Probate Court was divested of authority to make findings under § 45a-608n (b) when minor child reached age of eighteen during pendency of underlying proceeding. In re Cana R. (Order) In re Cana R. (Order) In re Luis N. (Orders) Inzitari v. Commissioner of Correction (Order) In each of the commissioner of Correction Habeas corpus; whether calculation of presentence confinement credit should be adjusted for concurrent sentences imposed under one docket number but on different dates due to mistrial; whether denial of presentence confinement credit impermissibly burdened petitioner's constitutional right to pursue double jeopardy claim on retrial. JPMorgan Chase Bank, National Assn. v. Davis (Order) JPMorgan Chase Bank, National Assn. v. Davis (Order) Levanti v. Grauer (Order) Levanti v. Conway (Order) Levanti v. Conmissioner of Correction (Order) Marra v. Commissioner of Correction (Order) Marra		016
Petitions for appointment of cognardian and juvenile status findings pursuant to statute (§ 45a-608n [b]); certification from Appellate Court; whether Appellate Court properly affirmed judgments of Superior Court dismissing probate appeals; whether Probate Court was divested of authority to make findings under § 45a-608n (b) when minor child reached age of eighteen during pendency of underlying proceeding. In re Ceana R. (Order) In re Luis N. (Orders) In re Luis N. (Orders) In re Luis N. (Orders) Inteltari v. Commissioner of Correction (Order) Ionescu v. Stratford (Order) James v. Commissioner of Correction Habeas corpus; whether calculation of presentence confinement credit should be adjusted for concurrent sentences imposed under one docket number but on different dates due to mistrial; whether denial of presentence confinement credit impermissibly burdened petitioner's constitutional right to pursue double jeopardy claim on retrial. JPMorgan Chase Bank, National Assn. v. Davis (Order) IPMorgan Chase Bank, National Assn. v. Davis (Order) Levanti v. Conway (Order) Lederle v. Spivey (Order) Levanti v. Conmissioner of Correction (Order) Marra v. Commissioner o		912
statute (§ 45a-608n [b]); certification from Appellate Court; whether Appellate Court properly affirmed judgments of Superior Court dismissing probate appeals; whether Probate Court was divested of authority to make findings under § 45a-608n (b) when minor child reached age of eighteen during pendency of underlying proceeding. In re Ceana R. (Order) In re Luis N. (Orders) Inzitari v. Commissioner of Correction (Order) Ionescu v. Stratford (Order) Iames v. Commissioner of Correction Habeas corpus; whether calculation of presentence confinement credit should be adjusted for concurrent sentences imposed under one docket number but on different dates due to mistrial; whether denial of presentence confinement credit impermissibly burdened petitioner's constitutional right to pursue double jeopardy claim on retrial. JPMorgan Chase Bank, National Assn. v. Davis (Order) JPMorgan Chase Bank, National Assn. v. Davis (Order) Kruger v. Grauer (Order) Levanti v. Conway (Order) Levanti v. Conway (Order) Levanti v. Commissioner of Correction (Order) Manra v. Commissioner of Correction (Order) Marra v. Commissioner of Correction (Order) Marra v. Commissioner of Correction (Order) Marra v. Davis (Order) Marra v. Commissioner of Correction (Order) MyM Realty, LLC v. Doe (Order) Mym Re		312
Court properly affirmed judgments of Superior Court dismissing probate appeals; whether Probate Court was divested of authority to make findings under § 45a-608n (b) when minor child reached age of eighteen during pendency of underlying proceeding. In re Luis N. (Order) In re Luis N. (Order) In re Luis N. (Orders) Inzitari v. Commissioner of Correction (Order) Imescu v. Stratford (Order) Imescu v. Stratford (Order) Imescu v. Commissioner of Correction Industry of of Industry of Industry of Industry of Industry of Industry of Industry Industry of		
whether Probate Court was divested of authority to make findings under § 45a-608n (b) when minor child reached age of eighteen during pendency of undertying proceeding. In re Ceana R. (Order)		
608n (b) when minor child reached age of eighteen during pendency of underlying proceeding. In re Ceana R. (Order) In re Luis N. (Orders) Inzitari v. Commissioner of Correction (Order) Ionescu v. Stratford (Order) James v. Commissioner of Correction Habeas corpus; whether calculation of presentence confinement credit should be adjusted for concurrent sentences imposed under one docked number but on different dates due to mistrial; whether denial of presentence confinement credit impermissibly burdened petitioner's constitutional right to pursue double jeopartly claim on retrial. JPMorgan Chase Bank, National Assn. v. Davis (Order) JPMorgan Chase Bank, National Assn. v. Davis (Order) Levinger v. Grauer (Order) Leventi v. Conway (Order) Leventi v. Conway (Order) Leventi v. Conway (Order) Leventi v. Construction & Development, LLC v. MacFarlane (Order) Mangiafico v. Farmington (Order) Marra v. Commissioner of Correction (Order) Marra v. Commissioner of Correction (Order) MCClancy v. Bank of America, N.A. (Order) MYM Realty, LLC v. Doe (Order) Nationwide Mutual Ins. Co. v. Pasiak Action seeking declaratory judgment to determine whether plaintiff insurance companies were obligated to defend and indemnify defendant in personal injury action brought against him; certification from Appellate Court; claim that Appellate Court incorrectly determined that business pursuits exclusion of defendant's personal umbrella policy precluded him from obtaining indemnification; proper standard for determining whether defendant's false imprisonment of his construction company; whether indemnification was precluded under umbrella policy's exclusion for insured's obligation to pay workers' compensation benefits; whether indemnification barred under policy's exclusion for personal injury resulting indemnification barred under policy's exclusion for personal injury resulting	whether Probate Court was divested of authority to make findings under § 45a-	
In re Ceana R. (Order) In re Luis N. (Orders). In re Luis N. (Orders). Inzitari v. Commissioner of Correction (Order). Ionescu v. Stratford (Order). James v. Commissioner of Correction Habeas corpus; whether calculation of presentence confinement credit should be adjusted for concurrent sentences imposed under one docket number but on different dates due to mistrial; whether denial of presentence confinement credit impermissibly burdened petitioner's constitutional right to pursue double jeopardy claim on retrial. JPMorgan Chase Bank, National Assn. v. Davis (Order). JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A. v. Herman (Order). Kruger v. Grauer (Order). Levanti v. Conway (Order). Lederle v. Spivey (Order). Letwanti v. Conway (Order). Little v. Commissioner of Correction (Order). Marra v. Commissioner of Correction (Order). Marra v. Commissioner of Correction (Order). McClancy v. Bank of America, N.A. (Order). MYM Realty, LLC v. Doe (Order) Nationwide Mutual Ins. Co. v. Pasiak. Action seeking declaratory judgment to determine whether plaintiff insurance companies were obligated to defend and indemnify defendant in personal injury action brought against him; certification from Appellate Court; claim that Appellate Court incorrectly determined that business pursuits exclusion of defendant's personal umbrella policy prectuded him from obtaining indemnification; proper standard for determining whether defendant's false imprisonment of his construction company's employee arose out of his business pursuits in operating that company; whether indemnification was precluded under umbrella policy's exclusion for insured's obligation to pay workers' compensation benefits; whether indemnification barred under policy's exclusion for personal injury resulting		
In re Luis N. (Orders) Inzitari v. Commissioner of Correction (Order) Ionescu v. Stratford (Order) James v. Commissioner of Correction Habeas corpus; whether calculation of presentence confinement credit should be adjusted for concurrent sentences imposed under one docket number but on different dates due to mistrial; whether denial of presentence confinement credit impermissibly burdened petitioner's constitutional right to pursue double jeopardy claim on retrial. JPMorgan Chase Bank, National Assn. v. Davis (Order) JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A. v. Herman (Order) Kruger v. Grauer (Order) Levanti v. Conway (Order) Lederle v. Spivey (Order) Letwanti v. Conway (Order) Little v. Commissioner of Correction (Order) Marra v. Commissioner of Correction (Order) Marra v. Commissioner of Correction (Order) MCClancy v. Bank of America, N.A. (Order) MYM Realty, LLC v. Doe (Order) Nationwide Mutual Ins. Co. v. Pasiak Action seeking declaratory judgment to determine whether plaintiff insurance companies were obligated to defend and indemnify defendant in personal injury action brought against him; certification from Appellate Court; claim that Appellate Court incorrectly determined that business pursuits exclusion of defendant's personal umbrella policy precluded him from obtaining indemnification; proper standard for determining whether defendant's false imprisonment of his construction company's employee arose out of his business pursuits in operating that company; whether indemnification was precluded under umbrella policy's exclusion for personal injury resulting	ing proceeding.	
Inzitari v. Commissioner of Correction (Order) Ionescu v. Stratford (Order) James v. Commissioner of Correction Habeas corpus; whether calculation of presentence confinement credit should be adjusted for concurrent sentences imposed under one docket number but on different dates due to mistrial; whether denial of presentence confinement credit impermissibly burdened petitioner's constitutional right to pursue double jeop- ardy claim on retrial. JPMorgan Chase Bank, National Assn. v. Davis (Order) JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A. v. Herman (Order). Kruger v. Grauer (Order) Lavigne v. Sarantopoulos (Order) Lederle v. Spivey (Order) Lederle v. Spivey (Order) Levanti v. Conway (Order) Little v. Commissioner of Correction (Order) Mangiafico v. Farmington (Order) Mangiafico v. Farmington (Order) Marra v. Commissioner of Correction (Order) MCClancy v. Bank of America, N.A. (Order) MYM Realty, LLC v. Doe (Order) Nationwide Mutual Ins. Co. v. Pasiak. Action seeking declaratory judgment to determine whether plaintiff insurance com- panies were obligated to defend and indemnify defendant in personal injury action brought against him; certification from Appellate Court; claim that Appel- late Court incorrectly determined that business pursuits exclusion of defendant's personal umbrella policy precluded him from obtaining indemnification, proper standard for determining whether defendant's false imprisonment of his con- struction company's employee arose out of his business pursuits in operating that company; whether indemnification was precluded under umbrella policy's exclusion for insured's obligation to pay workers' compensation benefits; whether indemnification barred under policy's exclusion for personal injury resulting		991
Ionescu v. Stratford (Order). James v. Commissioner of Correction Habeas corpus; whether calculation of presentence confinement credit should be adjusted for concurrent sentences imposed under one docket number but on different dates due to mistrial; whether denial of presentence confinement credit impermissibly burdened petitioner's constitutional right to pursue double jeopardy claim on retrial. JPMorgan Chase Bank, National Assn. v. Davis (Order). JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A. v. Herman (Order). Kruger v. Grauer (Order). Lavigne v. Sarantopoulos (Order). Lederle v. Spivey (Order). Levanti v. Conway (Order). Levanti v. Commissioner of Correction (Order). Mangiafico v. Farmington (Order). Marra v. Commissioner of Correction (Order). MCClancy v. Bank of America, N.A. (Order). MCClancy v. Bank of America, N.A. (Order). Mationwide Mutual Ins. Co. v. Pasiak. Action seeking declaratory judgment to determine whether plaintiff insurance companies were obligated to defend and indemnify defendant in personal injury action brought against him; certification from Appellate Court; claim that Appellate Court incorrectly determined that business pursuits exclusion of defendant's personal umbrella policy precluded him from obtaining indemnification; proper standard for determining whether defendant's false imprisonment of his construction company's employee arose out of his business pursuits in operating that company; whether indemnification was precluded under umbrella policy's exclusion for personal injury resulting		958
James v. Commissioner of Correction Habeas corpus; whether calculation of presentence confinement credit should be adjusted for concurrent sentences imposed under one docket number but on different dates due to mistrial; whether denial of presentence confinement credit impermissibly burdened petitioner's constitutional right to pursue double jeopardy claim on retrial. JPMorgan Chase Bank, National Assn. v. Davis (Order) JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A. v. Herman (Order). Kruger v. Grauer (Order). Lavigne v. Sarantopoulos (Order). Lederle v. Spivey (Order). Lettle v. Comway (Order). Lettle v. Commissioner of Correction (Order). Luongo Construction & Development, LLC v. MacFarlane (Order). Mangiafico v. Farmington (Order). Marra v. Commissioner of Correction (Order). McClancy v. Bank of America, N.A. (Order). MYM Realty, LLC v. Doe (Order). Nationwide Mutual Ins. Co. v. Pasiak. Action seeking declaratory judgment to determine whether plaintiff insurance companies were obligated to defend and indemnify defendant in personal injury action brought against him; certification from Appellate Court; claim that Appellate Court incorrectly determined that business pursuits exclusion of defendant's personal umbrella policy precluded him from obtaining indemnification; proper standard for determining whether defendant's false imprisonment of his construction company's employee arose out of his business pursuits in operating that company; whether indemnification was precluded under umbrella policy's exclusion for personal injury resulting indemnification barred under policy's exclusion for personal injury resulting		902
Habeas corpus; whether calculation of presentence confinement credit should be adjusted for concurrent sentences imposed under one docket number but on different dates due to mistrial; whether denial of presentence confinement credit impermissibly burdened petitioner's constitutional right to pursue double jeopardy claim on retrial. JPMorgan Chase Bank, National Assn. v. Davis (Order)		901
adjusted for concurrent sentences imposed under one docket number but on different dates due to mistrial; whether denial of presentence confinement credit impermissibly burdened petitioner's constitutional right to pursue double jeopardy claim on retrial. JPMorgan Chase Bank, National Assn. v. Davis (Order)		24
different dates due to mistrial; whether denial of presentence confinement credit impermissibly burdened petitioner's constitutional right to pursue double jeopardy claim on retrial. JPMorgan Chase Bank, National Assn. v. Davis (Order)		
impermissibly burdened petitioner's constitutional right to pursue double jeopardy claim on retrial. JPMorgan Chase Bank, National Assn. v. Davis (Order) JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A. v. Herman (Order) Kruger v. Grauer (Order) Lavigne v. Sarantopoulos (Order) Lederle v. Spivey (Order) Levanti v. Conway (Order) Little v. Commissioner of Correction (Order) Luongo Construction & Development, LLC v. MacFarlane (Order) Mangiafico v. Farmington (Order) Marra v. Commissioner of Correction (Order) McClancy v. Bank of America, N.A. (Order) MyM Realty, LLC v. Doe (Order) Nationwide Mutual Ins. Co. v. Pasiak Action seeking declaratory judgment to determine whether plaintiff insurance companies were obligated to defend and indemnify defendant in personal injury action brought against him; certification from Appellate Court; claim that Appellate Court incorrectly determined that business pursuits exclusion of defendant's personal umbrella policy precluded him from obtaining indemnification; proper standard for determining whether defendant's false imprisonment of his construction company's employee arose out of his business pursuits in operating that company; whether indemnification was precluded under umbrella policy's exclusion for insured's obligation to pay workers' compensation benefits; whether indemnification barred under policy's exclusion for personal injury resulting		
ardy claim on retrial. JPMorgan Chase Bank, National Assn. v. Davis (Order). JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A. v. Herman (Order). Kruger v. Grauer (Order). Lavigne v. Sarantopoulos (Order). Lederle v. Spivey (Order). Levanti v. Conway (Order). Little v. Commissioner of Correction (Order). Luongo Construction & Development, LLC v. MacFarlane (Order). Mangiafico v. Farmington (Order). Marra v. Commissioner of Correction (Order). McClancy v. Bank of America, N.A. (Order). MYM Realty, LLC v. Doe (Order). Nationwide Mutual Ins. Co. v. Pasiak. Action seeking declaratory judgment to determine whether plaintiff insurance companies were obligated to defend and indemnify defendant in personal injury action brought against him; certification from Appellate Court; claim that Appellate Court incorrectly determined that business pursuits exclusion of defendant's personal umbrella policy precluded him from obtaining indemnification, proper standard for determining whether defendant's false imprisonment of his construction company's employee arose out of his business pursuits in operating that company; whether indemnification was precluded under umbrella policy's exclusion for insured's obligation to pay workers' compensation benefits, whether indemnification barred under policy's exclusion for personal injury resulting		
JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A. v. Herman (Order). Kruger v. Grauer (Order). Lavigne v. Sarantopoulos (Order). Lederle v. Spivey (Order). Levanti v. Conway (Order). Little v. Commissioner of Correction (Order). Luongo Construction & Development, LLC v. MacFarlane (Order). Mangiafico v. Farmington (Order). Marra v. Commissioner of Correction (Order). Marra v. Commissioner of Correction (Order). Marra v. Commissioner of Correction (Order). MYM Realty, LLC v. Doe (Order). Nationwide Mutual Ins. Co. v. Pasiak. Action seeking declaratory judgment to determine whether plaintiff insurance companies were obligated to defend and indemnify defendant in personal injury action brought against him, certification from Appellate Court; claim that Appellate Court incorrectly determined that business pursuits exclusion of defendant's personal umbrella policy precluded him from obtaining indemnification; proper standard for determining whether defendant's false imprisonment of his construction company's employee arose out of his business pursuits in operating that company, whether indemnification was precluded under umbrella policy's exclusion for insured's obligation to pay workers' compensation benefits; whether indemnification barred under policy's exclusion for personal injury resulting		
Kruger v. Grauer (Order)		908
Lavigne v. Sarantopoulos (Order). Lederle v. Spivey (Order). Levanti v. Conway (Order). Little v. Commissioner of Correction (Order). Luongo Construction & Development, LLC v. MacFarlane (Order). Mangiafico v. Farmington (Order). Marra v. Commissioner of Correction (Order). McClancy v. Bank of America, N.A. (Order). MYM Realty, LLC v. Doe (Order). Nationwide Mutual Ins. Co. v. Pasiak. Action seeking declaratory judgment to determine whether plaintiff insurance companies were obligated to defend and indemnify defendant in personal injury action brought against him; certification from Appellate Court; claim that Appellate Court incorrectly determined that business pursuits exclusion of defendant's personal umbrella policy precluded him from obtaining indemnification; proper standard for determining whether defendant's false imprisonment of his construction company's employee arose out of his business pursuits in operating that company; whether indemnification was precluded under umbrella policy's exclusion for insured's obligation to pay workers' compensation benefits; whether indemnification barred under policy's exclusion for personal injury resulting		960
Lederle v. Spivey (Order). Levanti v. Conway (Order). Little v. Commissioner of Correction (Order). Luongo Construction & Development, LLC v. MacFarlane (Order). Mangiafico v. Farmington (Order). Marra v. Commissioner of Correction (Order). McClancy v. Bank of America, N.A. (Order). MYM Realty, LLC v. Doe (Order). Nationwide Mutual Ins. Co. v. Pasiak. Action seeking declaratory judgment to determine whether plaintiff insurance companies were obligated to defend and indemnify defendant in personal injury action brought against him; certification from Appellate Court; claim that Appellate Court incorrectly determined that business pursuits exclusion of defendant's personal umbrella policy precluded him from obtaining indemnification; proper standard for determining whether defendant's false imprisonment of his construction company's employee arose out of his business pursuits in operating that company; whether indemnification was precluded under umbrella policy's exclusion for insured's obligation to pay workers' compensation benefits; whether indemnification barred under policy's exclusion for personal injury resulting		901
Levanti v. Conway (Order). Little v. Commissioner of Correction (Order). Luongo Construction & Development, LLC v. MacFarlane (Order). Mangiafico v. Farmington (Order). Marra v. Commissioner of Correction (Order). McClancy v. Bank of America, N.A. (Order). MYM Realty, LLC v. Doe (Order). Nationwide Mutual Ins. Co. v. Pasiak. Action seeking declaratory judgment to determine whether plaintiff insurance companies were obligated to defend and indemnify defendant in personal injury action brought against him; certification from Appellate Court; claim that Appellate Court incorrectly determined that business pursuits exclusion of defendant's personal umbrella policy precluded him from obtaining indemnification, proper standard for determining whether defendant's false imprisonment of his construction company's employee arose out of his business pursuits in operating that company; whether indemnification was precluded under umbrella policy's exclusion for insured's obligation to pay workers' compensation benefits, whether indemnification barred under policy's exclusion for personal injury resulting		991
Little v. Commissioner of Correction (Order). Luongo Construction & Development, LLC v. MacFarlane (Order). Mangiafico v. Farmington (Order). Marra v. Commissioner of Correction (Order). McClancy v. Bank of America, N.A. (Order). MYM Realty, LLC v. Doe (Order). Nationwide Mutual Ins. Co. v. Pasiak. Action seeking declaratory judgment to determine whether plaintiff insurance companies were obligated to defend and indemnify defendant in personal injury action brought against him; certification from Appellate Court; claim that Appellate Court incorrectly determined that business pursuits exclusion of defendant's personal umbrella policy precluded him from obtaining indemnification; proper standard for determining whether defendant's false imprisonment of his construction company's employee arose out of his business pursuits in operating that company, whether indemnification was precluded under umbrella policy's exclusion for insured's obligation to pay workers' compensation benefits; whether indemnification barred under policy's exclusion for personal injury resulting		954
Luongo Construction & Development, LLC v. MacFarlane (Order)		919
Mangiafico v. Farmington (Order)		990 988
Marra v. Commissioner of Correction (Order). McClancy v. Bank of America, N.A. (Order). MYM Realty, LLC v. Doe (Order). Nationwide Mutual Ins. Co. v. Pasiak. Action seeking declaratory judgment to determine whether plaintiff insurance companies were obligated to defend and indemnify defendant in personal injury action brought against him; certification from Appellate Court; claim that Appellate Court incorrectly determined that business pursuits exclusion of defendant's personal umbrella policy precluded him from obtaining indemnification; proper standard for determining whether defendant's false imprisonment of his construction company's employee arose out of his business pursuits in operating that company; whether indemnification was precluded under umbrella policy's exclusion for insured's obligation to pay workers' compensation benefits; whether indemnification barred under policy's exclusion for personal injury resulting	1 /	920
McClancy v. Bank of America, N.A. (Order)	Marra v. Commissioner of Correction (Order)	955
MYM Realty, LLC v. Doe (Order)		978
Nationwide Mutual Ins. Co. v. Pasiak. Action seeking declaratory judgment to determine whether plaintiff insurance companies were obligated to defend and indemnify defendant in personal injury action brought against him; certification from Appellate Court; claim that Appellate Court incorrectly determined that business pursuits exclusion of defendant's personal umbrella policy precluded him from obtaining indemnification; proper standard for determining whether defendant's false imprisonment of his construction company's employee arose out of his business pursuits in operating that company; whether indemnification was precluded under umbrella policy's exclusion for insured's obligation to pay workers' compensation benefits; whether indemnification barred under policy's exclusion for personal injury resulting		908
Action seeking declaratory judgment to determine whether plaintiff insurance companies were obligated to defend and indemnify defendant in personal injury action brought against him; certification from Appellate Court; claim that Appellate Court incorrectly determined that business pursuits exclusion of defendant's personal umbrella policy precluded him from obtaining indemnification; proper standard for determining whether defendant's false imprisonment of his construction company's employee arose out of his business pursuits in operating that company; whether indemnification was precluded under umbrella policy's exclusion for insured's obligation to pay workers' compensation benefits; whether indemnification barred under policy's exclusion for personal injury resulting	Nationwide Mutual Ins. Co. v. Pasiak	225
action brought against him, certification from Appellate Court; claim that Appellate Court incorrectly determined that business pursuits exclusion of defendant's personal umbrella policy precluded him from obtaining indemnification; proper standard for determining whether defendant's false imprisonment of his construction company's employee arose out of his business pursuits in operating that company; whether indemnification was precluded under umbrella policy's exclusion for insured's obligation to pay workers' compensation benefits; whether indemnification barred under policy's exclusion for personal injury resulting	Action seeking declaratory judgment to determine whether plaintiff insurance com-	
late Court incorrectly determined that business pursuits exclusion of defendant's personal umbrella policy precluded him from obtaining indemnification; proper standard for determining whether defendant's false imprisonment of his construction company's employee arose out of his business pursuits in operating that company; whether indemnification was precluded under umbrella policy's exclusion for insured's obligation to pay workers' compensation benefits; whether indemnification barred under policy's exclusion for personal injury resulting		
personal umbrella policy precluded him from obtaining indemnification; proper standard for determining whether defendant's false imprisonment of his construction company's employee arose out of his business pursuits in operating that company; whether indemnification was precluded under umbrella policy's exclusion for insured's obligation to pay workers' compensation benefits; whether indemnification barred under policy's exclusion for personal injury resulting		
standard for determining whether defendant's false imprisonment of his con- struction company's employee arose out of his business pursuits in operating that company; whether indemnification was precluded under umbrella policy's exclusion for insured's obligation to pay workers' compensation benefits; whether indemnification barred under policy's exclusion for personal injury resulting		
struction company's employee arose out of his business pursuits in operating that company; whether indemnification was precluded under umbrella policy's exclusion for insured's obligation to pay workers' compensation benefits; whether indemnification barred under policy's exclusion for personal injury resulting		
that company; whether indemnification was precluded under umbrella policy's exclusion for insured's obligation to pay workers' compensation benefits; whether indemnification barred under policy's exclusion for personal injury resulting		
exclusion for insured's obligation to pay workers' compensation benefits, whether indemnification barred under policy's exclusion for personal injury resulting		
indemnification barred under policy's exclusion for personal injury resulting		
from physical or mental abuse; whether, in absence of express grant of coverage		
	from physical or mental abuse; whether, in absence of express grant of coverage	

for punitive damages in insured's policy, it would be violation of public policy	
to construe policy to indemnify wrongdoer for punitive damages; claim that trial	
court improperly limited scope of discovery and declaratory judgment trial, depriving plaintiffs of trial de novo on coverage issues they could not litigate in	
underlying tort action.	
New Haven Parking Authority v . Long Wharf Realty Corp. (Order)	928
Northrup v. Witkowski (Order)	971
OneWest Bank, FSB v. Gnazzo (Order)	922
Pajor v . Administrator, Unemployment Compensation Act (Order)	977
Pascarella v. Silver (Order)	931
Pentland v. Commissioner of Correction (Order)	978
Pereira v. Commissioner of Correction (Order)	984 907
Presidential Village, LLC v. Perkins (Order)	974
Procaccini v. Lawrence & Memorial Hospital, Inc. (Order)	960
Pronovost v. Tierney (Order)	922
Puff v. Puff (Orders)	994
R.T. Vanderbilt Co. v. Hartford Accident & Indemnity Co. (Orders)	, 925
Ramos v . Commissioner of Correction (Order)	904
Redding Life Care, LLC v. Redding (Order)	991
Reserve Realty, LLC v. BLT Reserve, LLC (Order)	911
Reserve Realty, LLC v. Windemere Reserve, LLC (Orders)	975, 911
Rockstone Capital, LLC v. Sanzo (Order)	968
Rogers v. Commissioner of Correction (Order)	929
Salters v. Commissioner of Correction (Order)	969
Santos v . Commissioner of Correction (Order)	977
Santos v. Stratford (Order)	926
Sinchak v. Commissioner of Correction (Order)	901
Smith v . Commissioner of Correction (Order)	920
	906
State v. Adams	906 297
State v. Adams	
State v. Adams	297
State v. Adams	297 908
State v. Adams	297 908 906
State v. Adams	908 906 975
State v. Adams. Breach of peace second degree; attempted larceny sixth degree; certification from Appellate Court; claim that Appellate Court incorrectly concluded that evidence was insufficient to support defendant's conviction of attempted larceny in connection with his attempt to shoplift bag of items from store; whether evidence supported reasonable inference that items in bag that defendant abandoned while fleeing belonged to store; whether evidence of defendant's flight could be used to establish that crime was committed. State v. Ames (Order). State v. Biggs (Order). State v. Boyd (Order)	297 908 906
State v. Adams. Breach of peace second degree; attempted larceny sixth degree; certification from Appellate Court; claim that Appellate Court incorrectly concluded that evidence was insufficient to support defendant's conviction of attempted larceny in connection with his attempt to shoplift bag of items from store; whether evidence supported reasonable inference that items in bag that defendant abandoned while fleeing belonged to store; whether evidence of defendant's flight could be used to establish that crime was committed. State v. Ames (Order). State v. Andino (Order). State v. Biggs (Order). State v. Boyd (Order).	908 906 975 972
State v. Adams. Breach of peace second degree; attempted larceny sixth degree; certification from Appellate Court; claim that Appellate Court incorrectly concluded that evidence was insufficient to support defendant's conviction of attempted larceny in connection with his attempt to shoplift bag of items from store; whether evidence supported reasonable inference that items in bag that defendant abandoned while fleeing belonged to store; whether evidence of defendant's flight could be used to establish that crime was committed. State v. Ames (Order). State v. Biggs (Order). State v. Boyd (Order). State v. Boyd (Order). State v. Bozelko (Order). State v. Carter (Order).	908 906 975 972 973
State v. Adams. Breach of peace second degree; attempted larceny sixth degree; certification from Appellate Court; claim that Appellate Court incorrectly concluded that evidence was insufficient to support defendant's conviction of attempted larceny in connection with his attempt to shoplift bag of items from store; whether evidence supported reasonable inference that items in bag that defendant abandoned while fleeing belonged to store; whether evidence of defendant's flight could be used to establish that crime was committed. State v. Ames (Order). State v. Biggs (Order). State v. Boyd (Order). State v. Boyd (Order). State v. Carter (Order). State v. Carter (Order). State v. Cuadrado (Order). State v. Cuadrado (Order).	908 906 975 972 973 960
State v. Adams. Breach of peace second degree; attempted larceny sixth degree; certification from Appellate Court; claim that Appellate Court incorrectly concluded that evidence was insufficient to support defendant's conviction of attempted larceny in connection with his attempt to shoplift bag of items from store; whether evidence supported reasonable inference that items in bag that defendant abandoned while fleeing belonged to store; whether evidence of defendant's flight could be used to establish that crime was committed. State v. Ames (Order). State v. Biggs (Order). State v. Boyd (Order). State v. Bozelko (Order). State v. Carter (Order). State v. Carter (Order). State v. Carter (Order). State v. Damato-Kushel. Writ of error; trial court's ruling precluding plaintiff in error from attending pretrial	908 906 975 972 973 960 979
State v. Adams. Breach of peace second degree; attempted larceny sixth degree; certification from Appellate Court; claim that Appellate Court incorrectly concluded that evidence was insufficient to support defendant's conviction of attempted larceny in connection with his attempt to shoplift bag of items from store; whether evidence supported reasonable inference that items in bag that defendant abandoned while fleeing belonged to store; whether evidence of defendant's flight could be used to establish that crime was committed. State v. Ames (Order). State v. Andino (Order). State v. Biggs (Order). State v. Boyd (Order). State v. Carter (Order). State v. Carter (Order). State v. Carter (Order). State v. Damato-Kushel. Writ of error; trial court's ruling precluding plaintiff in error from attending pretrial disposition conferences in criminal case in which he was alleged victim; claim	908 906 975 972 973 960 979
State v. Adams. Breach of peace second degree; attempted larceny sixth degree; certification from Appellate Court; claim that Appellate Court incorrectly concluded that evidence was insufficient to support defendant's conviction of attempted larceny in connection with his attempt to shoplift bag of items from store; whether evidence supported reasonable inference that items in bag that defendant abandoned while fleeing belonged to store; whether evidence of defendant's flight could be used to establish that crime was committed. State v. Ames (Order). State v. Andino (Order). State v. Boyd (Order). State v. Boyd (Order). State v. Carter (Order) State v. Carter (Order) State v. Cuadrado (Order). State v. Damato-Kushel. Writ of error; trial court's ruling precluding plaintiff in error from attending pretrial disposition conferences in criminal case in which he was alleged victim; claim that trial court's ruling barring attendance of plaintiff in error at pretrial disposi-	908 906 975 972 973 960 979
State v. Adams. Breach of peace second degree; attempted larceny sixth degree; certification from Appellate Court; claim that Appellate Court incorrectly concluded that evidence was insufficient to support defendant's conviction of attempted larceny in connection with his attempt to shoplift bag of items from store; whether evidence supported reasonable inference that items in bag that defendant abandoned while fleeing belonged to store; whether evidence of defendant's flight could be used to establish that crime was committed. State v. Ames (Order). State v. Biggs (Order). State v. Boyd (Order). State v. Boyd (Order). State v. Carter (Order) State v. Carter (Order) State v. Carter (Order) State v. Damato-Kushel. Writ of error; trial court's ruling precluding plaintiff in error from attending pretrial disposition conferences in criminal case in which he was alleged victim; claim that trial court's ruling barring attendance of plaintiff in error at pretrial disposition conferences violated his right as victim to attend court proceedings that	908 906 975 972 973 960 979
State v. Adams. Breach of peace second degree; attempted larceny sixth degree; certification from Appellate Court; claim that Appellate Court incorrectly concluded that evidence was insufficient to support defendant's conviction of attempted larceny in connection with his attempt to shoplift bag of items from store; whether evidence supported reasonable inference that items in bag that defendant abandoned while fleeing belonged to store; whether evidence of defendant's flight could be used to establish that crime was committed. State v. Ames (Order). State v. Biggs (Order). State v. Boyd (Order). State v. Bozelko (Order). State v. Carter (Order) State v. Carter (Order) State v. Damato-Kushel. Writ of error; trial court's ruling precluding plaintiff in error from attending pretrial disposition conferences in criminal case in which he was alleged victim; claim that trial court's ruling barring attendance of plaintiff in error at pretrial disposition conferences violated his right as victim to attend court proceedings that accused has right to attend, as set forth in victim's rights amendment (Conn.	908 906 975 972 973 960 979
State v. Adams. Breach of peace second degree; attempted larceny sixth degree; certification from Appellate Court; claim that Appellate Court incorrectly concluded that evidence was insufficient to support defendant's conviction of attempted larceny in connection with his attempt to shoplift bag of items from store; whether evidence supported reasonable inference that items in bag that defendant abandoned while fleeing belonged to store; whether evidence of defendant's flight could be used to establish that crime was committed. State v. Ames (Order). State v. Biggs (Order). State v. Boyd (Order). State v. Boyd (Order). State v. Carter (Order) State v. Carter (Order) State v. Carter (Order) State v. Damato-Kushel. Writ of error; trial court's ruling precluding plaintiff in error from attending pretrial disposition conferences in criminal case in which he was alleged victim; claim that trial court's ruling barring attendance of plaintiff in error at pretrial disposition conferences violated his right as victim to attend court proceedings that	908 906 975 972 973 960 979
State v. Adams. Breach of peace second degree; attempted larceny sixth degree; certification from Appellate Court; claim that Appellate Court incorrectly concluded that evidence was insufficient to support defendant's conviction of attempted larceny in connection with his attempt to shoplift bag of items from store; whether evidence supported reasonable inference that items in bag that defendant abandoned while fleeing belonged to store; whether evidence of defendant's flight could be used to establish that crime was committed. State v. Ames (Order). State v. Boyd (Order). State v. Boyd (Order). State v. Bozelko (Order) State v. Carter (Order) State v. Carter (Order) State v. Damato-Kushel. Writ of error; trial court's ruling precluding plaintiff in error from attending pretrial disposition conferences in criminal case in which he was alleged victim; claim that trial court's ruling barring attendance of plaintiff in error at pretrial disposition conferences violated his right as victim to attend court proceedings that accused has right to attend, as set forth in victim's rights amendment (Conn. Const., amend. XXIX [b] [5]); claim that this court lacked jurisdiction over writ of error on ground that plaintiff in error was not aggrieved by trial court's ruling and that ruling was not appealable final judgment; whether trial court's ruling and that ruling was not appealable final judgment; whether trial court's ruling	908 906 975 972 973 960 979
State v. Adams. Breach of peace second degree; attempted larceny sixth degree; certification from Appellate Court; claim that Appellate Court incorrectly concluded that evidence was insufficient to support defendant's conviction of attempted larceny in connection with his attempt to shoplift bag of items from store; whether evidence supported reasonable inference that items in bag that defendant abandoned while fleeing belonged to store; whether evidence of defendant's flight could be used to establish that crime was committed. State v. Ames (Order). State v. Boyd (Order). State v. Boyd (Order). State v. Bozelko (Order) State v. Carter (Order) State v. Cuadrado (Order) State v. Damato-Kushel. Writ of error; trial court's ruling precluding plaintiff in error from attending pretrial disposition conferences in criminal case in which he was alleged victim; claim that trial court's ruling barring attendance of plaintiff in error at pretrial disposition conferences violated his right as victim to attend court proceedings that accused has right to attend, as set forth in victim's rights amendment (Conn. Const., amend. XXIX [b] [5]); claim that this court lacked jurisdiction over writ of error on ground that plaintiff in error was not aggrieved by trial court's ruling and that ruling was not appealable final judgment; whether trial court's ruling was improper; whether in-chambers, off-the-record disposition conferences	908 906 975 972 973 960 979
State v. Adams. Breach of peace second degree; attempted larceny sixth degree; certification from Appellate Court; claim that Appellate Court incorrectly concluded that evidence was insufficient to support defendant's conviction of attempted larceny in connection with his attempt to shoplift bag of items from store; whether evidence supported reasonable inference that items in bag that defendant abandoned while fleeing belonged to store; whether evidence of defendant's flight could be used to establish that crime was committed. State v. Ames (Order). State v. Boyd (Order). State v. Boyd (Order). State v. Bozelko (Order) State v. Carter (Order) State v. Carter (Order) State v. Damato-Kushel. Writ of error; trial court's ruling precluding plaintiff in error from attending pretrial disposition conferences in criminal case in which he was alleged victim; claim that trial court's ruling barring attendance of plaintiff in error at pretrial disposition conferences violated his right as victim to attend court proceedings that accused has right to attend, as set forth in victim's rights amendment (Conn. Const., amend. XXIX [b] [5]); claim that this court lacked jurisdiction over writ of error on ground that plaintiff in error was not aggrieved by trial court's ruling was improper; whether in-chambers, off-the-record disposition conferences between prosecuting attorney, defense counsel, and presiding judge are court	908 906 975 972 973 960 979
State v. Adams. Breach of peace second degree; attempted larceny sixth degree; certification from Appellate Court; claim that Appellate Court incorrectly concluded that evidence was insufficient to support defendant's conviction of attempted larceny in connection with his attempt to shoplift bag of items from store; whether evidence supported reasonable inference that items in bag that defendant abandoned while fleeing belonged to store; whether evidence of defendant's flight could be used to establish that crime was committed. State v. Ames (Order). State v. Biggs (Order). State v. Boyd (Order). State v. Boyd (Order). State v. Carter (Order) State v. Carter (Order) State v. Damato-Kushel. Writ of error; trial court's ruling precluding plaintiff in error from attending pretrial disposition conferences in criminal case in which he was alleged victim; claim that trial court's ruling barring attendance of plaintiff in error at pretrial disposition conferences violated his right as victim to attend court proceedings that accused has right to attend, as set forth in victim's rights amendment (Conn. Const., amend. XXIX [b] [5]); claim that this court lacked jurisdiction over writ of error on ground that plaintiff in error was not aggrieved by trial court's ruling and that ruling was not appealable final judgment; whether trial court's ruling was improper; whether in-chambers, off-the-record disposition conferences between prosecuting attorney, defense counsel, and presiding judge are court proceedings that accused has right to attend under victim's rights amendment.	908 906 975 972 960 979 173
State v. Adams. Breach of peace second degree; attempted larceny sixth degree; certification from Appellate Court; claim that Appellate Court incorrectly concluded that evidence was insufficient to support defendant's conviction of attempted larceny in connection with his attempt to shoplift bag of items from store; whether evidence supported reasonable inference that items in bag that defendant abandoned while fleeing belonged to store; whether evidence of defendant's flight could be used to establish that crime was committed. State v. Ames (Order). State v. Biggs (Order). State v. Boyd (Order). State v. Boyd (Order). State v. Bozelko (Order). State v. Carter (Order). State v. Damato-Kushel. Writ of error; trial court's ruling precluding plaintiff in error from attending pretrial disposition conferences in criminal case in which he was alleged victim; claim that trial court's ruling barring attendance of plaintiff in error at pretrial disposition conferences violated his right as victim to attend court proceedings that accused has right to attend, as set forth in victim's rights amendment (Conn. Const., amend. XXIX [b] [5]); claim that this court lacked jurisdiction over writ of error on ground that plaintiff in error was not aggrieved by trial court's ruling and that ruling was not appealable final judgment; whether trial court's ruling was improper; whether in-chambers, off-the-record disposition conferences between prosecuting attorney, defense counsel, and presiding judge are court proceedings that accused has right to attend under victim's rights amendment.	908 906 975 972 973 960 979 173
State v. Adams. Breach of peace second degree; attempted larceny sixth degree; certification from Appellate Court; claim that Appellate Court incorrectly concluded that evidence was insufficient to support defendant's conviction of attempted larceny in connection with his attempt to shoplift bag of items from store; whether evidence supported reasonable inference that items in bag that defendant abandoned while fleeing belonged to store; whether evidence of defendant's flight could be used to establish that crime was committed. State v. Ames (Order). State v. Andino (Order). State v. Boyd (Order). State v. Boyd (Order). State v. Carter (Order). State v. Cuadrado (Order). State v. Cuadrado (Order). State v. Damato-Kushel. Writ of error; trial court's ruling precluding plaintiff in error from attending pretrial disposition conferences in criminal case in which he was alleged victim; claim that trial court's ruling barring attendance of plaintiff in error at pretrial disposition conferences violated his right as victim to attend court proceedings that accused has right to attend, as set forth in victim's rights amendment (Conn. Const., amend. XXIX [b] [5]); claim that this court lacked jurisdiction over writ of error on ground that plaintiff in error was not aggrieved by trial court's ruling and that ruling was not appealable final judgment; whether trial court's ruling was improper; whether in-chambers, off-the-record disposition conferences between prosecuting attorney, defense counsel, and presiding judge are court proceedings that accused has right to attend under victim's rights amendment. State v. Danovan T. (Order).	908 906 975 972 973 960 979 173
State v. Adams. Breach of peace second degree; attempted larceny sixth degree; certification from Appellate Court; claim that Appellate Court incorrectly concluded that evidence was insufficient to support defendant's conviction of attempted larceny in connection with his attempt to shoplift bag of items from store; whether evidence supported reasonable inference that items in bag that defendant abandoned while fleeing belonged to store; whether evidence of defendant's flight could be used to establish that crime was committed. State v. Ames (Order). State v. Andino (Order). State v. Boyd (Order) State v. Boyd (Order) State v. Carter (Order) State v. Cuadrado (Order) State v. Damato-Kushel. Writ of error; trial court's ruling precluding plaintiff in error from attending pretrial disposition conferences in criminal case in which he was alleged victim; claim that trial court's ruling barring attendance of plaintiff in error at pretrial disposition conferences violated his right as victim to attend court proceedings that accused has right to attend, as set forth in victim's rights amendment (Conn. Const., amend. XXIX [b] [5]); claim that this court lacked jurisdiction over writ of error on ground that plaintiff in error was not aggrieved by trial court's ruling was improper; whether in-chambers, off-the-record disposition conferences between prosecuting attorney, defense counsel, and presiding judge are court proceedings that accused has right to attend under victim's rights amendment. State v. Danovan T. (Order). State v. Elmer G. (Order).	908 906 975 972 973 960 979 173
State v. Adams. Breach of peace second degree; attempted larceny sixth degree; certification from Appellate Court; claim that Appellate Court incorrectly concluded that evidence was insufficient to support defendant's conviction of attempted larceny in connection with his attempt to shoplift bag of items from store; whether evidence supported reasonable inference that items in bag that defendant abandoned while fleeing belonged to store; whether evidence of defendant's flight could be used to establish that crime was committed. State v. Ames (Order). State v. Andino (Order). State v. Boyd (Order). State v. Boyd (Order) State v. Bozelko (Order) State v. Carter (Order) State v. Damato-Kushel. Writ of error; trial court's ruling precluding plaintiff in error from attending pretrial disposition conferences in criminal case in which he was alleged victim; claim that trial court's ruling barring attendance of plaintiff in error at pretrial disposition conferences violated his right as victim to attend court proceedings that accused has right to attend, as set forth in victim's rights amendment (Conn. Const., amend. XXIX [b] [5]); claim that this court lacked jurisdiction over writ of error on ground that plaintiff in error was not aggrieved by trial court's ruling and that ruling was not appealable final judgment; whether trial court's ruling was improper; whether in-chambers, off-the-record disposition conferences between prosecuting attorney, defense counsel, and presiding judge are court proceedings that accused has right to attend under victim's rights amendment. State v. Danovan T. (Order) State v. Elmer G. (Order).	908 906 975 972 973 960 979 173 992 971 961 987
State v. Adams. Breach of peace second degree; attempted larceny sixth degree; certification from Appellate Court; claim that Appellate Court incorrectly concluded that evidence was insufficient to support defendant's conviction of attempted larceny in connection with his attempt to shoplift bag of items from store; whether evidence supported reasonable inference that items in bag that defendant abandoned while fleeing belonged to store; whether evidence of defendant's flight could be used to establish that crime was committed. State v. Ames (Order). State v. Andino (Order). State v. Boyd (Order). State v. Boyd (Order). State v. Carter (Order). State v. Carter (Order). State v. Carter (Order). State v. Damato-Kushel. Writ of error; trial court's ruling precluding plaintiff in error from attending pretrial disposition conferences in criminal case in which he was alleged victim; claim that trial court's ruling barring attendance of plaintiff in error at pretrial disposition conferences violated his right as victim to attend court proceedings that accused has right to attend, as set forth in victim's rights amendment (Conn. Const., amend. XXIX [b] [5]); claim that this court lacked jurisdiction over writ of error on ground that plaintiff in error was not aggrieved by trial court's ruling and that ruling was not appealable final judgment; whether trial court's ruling was improper; whether in-chambers, off-the-record disposition conferences between prosecuting attorney, defense counsel, and presiding judge are court proceedings that accused has right to attend under victim's rights amendment. State v. Danovan T. (Order). State v. Franklin (Order).	908 906 975 972 973 960 979 173
Appellate Court; claim that Appellate Court incorrectly concluded that evidence was insufficient to support defendant's conviction of attempted larceny in connection with his attempt to shoplift bag of items from store; whether evidence supported reasonable inference that items in bag that defendant abandoned while fleeing belonged to store; whether evidence of defendant's flight could be used to establish that crime was committed. State v. Ames (Order). State v. Biggs (Order). State v. Boyd (Order). State v. Boyd (Order). State v. Carter (Order) State v. Carter (Order) State v. Damato-Kushel. Writ of error; trial court's ruling precluding plaintiff in error from attending pretrial disposition conferences in criminal case in which he was alleged victim; claim that trial court's ruling barring attendance of plaintiff in error at pretrial disposition conferences violated his right as victim to attend court proceedings that accused has right to attend, as set forth in victim's rights amendment (Conn. Const., amend. XXIX [b] [5]); claim that this court lacked jurisdiction over writ of error on ground that plaintiff in error was not aggrieved by trial court's ruling and that ruling was not appealable final judgment; whether trial court's ruling was improper; whether in-chambers, off-the-record disposition conferences between prosecuting attorney, defense counsel, and presiding judge are court	908 906 975 972 973 960 979 173

	984
	212
Risk of injury to child; certification from Appellate Court; whether decision of	
Appellate Court that defendant's conviction was supported by evidence that he	
created risk of harm to child's mental health should be upheld on alternative ground that evidence was sufficient to prove that defendant wilfully caused his	
child to be placed in situation in which her life or limb was endangered; claim	
that evidence was insufficient to prove that defendant created situation that	
endangered child's life or limb; whether defendant had requisite general intent	
to commit risk of injury to child.	
	905
	912
	925
	978
	989
	970
	451
Murder; claim that trial court incorrectly failed to strike certain improper testimony	
from witness regarding police photographic array; whether defendant waived	
that claim by approving of trial court's proposed remedy; claim that testimony	
by victim's mother indicating that she had heard information relating defendant	
to victim's disappearance constituted inadmissible hearsay; whether hearsay	
claim was preserved.	
	988
	956
State v. Patel (Orders)	955
State v . Pelella	1
Threatening second degree; appeal by state on granting of permission; first amend-	
ment to $UnitedStatesconstitution;$ whether $trialcourtimproperlygrantedmotion$	
to dismiss charges; claim that trial court incorrectly determined that law required	
alleged threat to be imminent for it to constitute true threat; whether trial court	
improperly viewed evidence before it in light most favorable to defendant in	
ruling on motion to dismiss; whether issue of whether statement constituted true	
threat was for jury to decide.	005
	985
	921
	959
	974 969
	909
	921
State v. Smith (Order)	910
	970
	956
	962
	985
State v. Toro (Order)	905
	910
	958
	995
	169
Assault second degree; breach of peace second degree; strangulation second degree;	100
threatening second degree; certification from Appellate Court; claim that trial	
court improperly admitted prior uncharged misconduct evidence; whether	
improper admission of evidence was harmless; adoption of Appellate Court's	
opinion as proper statement of issue of harmlessness and applicable law concern-	
ing that issue.	
State v. Walton (Order)	970
State v. Wiggins (Order)	908
	980
	976
Stephen J. R. v. Commissioner of Correction (Order)	995
	926
	972
Camonik a Camonik (Order)	002

Tao v. Court of Probate (Order)	979
Teixeira v. Home Depot, Inc. (Order)	976
Thomson v. Dept. of Social Services (Order)	962
Thorpe v. Commissioner of Correction (Order)	905
Tilus v . Commissioner of Correction (Order)	962
Toccaline v . Commissioner of Correction (Order)	986
Townsend v . Hardy (Order)	909
21st Century North America Ins. Co. v. Perez (Order)	995
US Bank National Assn. v. Brouillard (Order)	954
Village Mortgage Co. v. Veneziano (Order)	957
Wells Fargo Bank, N.A. v. Owen (Order)	955
Wells Fargo Bank, National Assn. v. Tarzia (Order)	928
Wellswood Columbia, LLC v . Hebron	53
Action to recover damages for temporary taking, temporary nuisance, and tortious	
interference with business expectancies; whether doctrine of res judicata barred	
plaintiffs' action; whether takings claim accrued after injunction had been issued	
in first action; whether accrual of takings claim was postponed because extent	
of plaintiffs' damages was uncertain; claim that defendant town's road closure	
constituted temporary nuisance or continuing or recurrent wrong; claim that	
there was genuine issue of material fact as to whether plaintiffs had sustained	
losses prior to first action for purposes of tortious interference with business	
expectancies claim; whether policies underlying res judicata supported its appli-	
cation in present case.	
Williams v . Commissioner of Correction (Order)	990
Williams v. Commissioner of Correction (Order)	996
Williams v. Housing Authority	338
Negligence; recklessness; statutory (§ 52-557n) governmental immunity; summary	
judgment; certification from Appellate Court; whether Appellate Court correctly	
concluded that there was genuine issue of material fact as to whether municipal	
defendants' failure to inspect decedents' apartment for compliance with applicable	
fire safety codes and regulations, as required by statute (§ 29-305), constituted	
reckless disregard for health or safety under § 52-557n (b) (8); clarification of	
standard that governs reckless disregard exception in § 52-557n (b) (8), dis-	
cussed.	
Wilson v . Commissioner of Correction (Order)	993
Zhang v. 56 Locust Road, LLC (Order)	986